Antonyms

Antonyms are words belonging to the same part of speech, identical in style, expressing contrary of contradictory notions.

Antonyms



absolute or root antonyms

(late - early)

different roots

derivational antonyms

(to please - to displease, honest - dishonest, professional - nonprofessional)

same roots but different affixes

1. Negative prefixes (un-; dis-; non-) form antonyms:

Un-: untrue

Dis-: dislike

Non-: nonreactive

2. Sometimes they are formed by means of antonymous suffixes *-ful* and *-less*

-ful: painful

-less: painless

The antonym of the adjective with the suffix **-ful** is formed by means of the prefix **un-**:

successful – unsuccessful

The antonym of the adjective with the suffix -less is formed with the help of the suffix -ish:

selfless - selfish

The same is true about antonyms with negative prefixes

e.g. to man is not an antonym of the word to unman; to disappoint is not an antonym of the word to appoint.

The difference between derivational and root antonyms is not only in their structure, but in semantics as well.

Derivational antonyms	Absolute antonyms
express contradictory notions, one of them excludes the other	express contrary notions. If some notions can be arranged in a group of more than two members, the most distant members of the group will be absolute antonyms
e.g. active - inactive	e.g. ugly, plain, good-looking, pretty, beautiful

Leonard Lipka in the book Outline of English Lexicology describes different types of oppositeness, and subdivides them into three types:

- ✓ complementarity, e.g. male female, married single;
- ✓antonyms, e.g. good bad
- ✓converseness, e.g. to buy to sell.

Complementarity

In his classification he describes complementarity in the following way: the denial of the one implies the assertion of the other, and vice versa.

John is not married = John is single

The type of oppositeness is based on yes/no decision. Incompatibility only concerns pairs of lexical units.

Antonyms

It's distinguished from complementarity by being based on different logical relationships. The assertion containing one member implies the negation of the other, but not vice versa.

John is good = John is not bad John is not good ≠ John is bad

The negation of one term doesn't necessarily implies the assertion of the other.

An important linguistic difference from complementaries is that antonyms are always fully gradable, e.g. hot, warm, tepid, cold.

Converseness

1. Converseness is mirror-image relations or functions:

e.g. husband – wife, pupil - teacher, precede - follow, above - below, before - after etc.

Jonh bought the car from Bill = Bill sold the car to John

2.. Also in the comparative form:

Y is smaller than X = X is larger than Y.

L.Lipka also points out non-binary contrast or many-member lexical sets. Here he points out serially ordered sets, such as

- scales (hot, warm, tepid, cold, cool);
- colour words (black, grey, white);
- military ranks (marshal, general, colonel, major, captain etc.)
- •gradable examination marks (excellent, good, average, fair, poor)

In such sets of words we can have outer and inner pairs of antonyms.

He also points out cycles, such as units of tie:

(spring, summer, autumn, winter)

In this case there are no outermost members.

Not every word in language can have antonyms. This type of opposition can be met *in qualitative adjective and their derivatives*

e.g. beautiful - ugly, to beautify - to uglify, beauty – ugliness

It can be also met in words denoting feelings and states

e.g. respect - scorn, to respect- to scorn, respectful - scornful to live - to die, alive - dead, life - death

It can be also met among words denoting direction in space and time

e.g. here - there, now - never, day - night, up - down, before - after early - late etc.

If a word is **polysemantic**, it can have several antonyms

e.g. the word *bright* has the antonyms:

dim, dull, sad.

Thank you for your attention!